



Correspondence

Udo Ahanna Ubani

Faculty of Optometry, Abia state University,
Uturu Abia State, Nigeria

- Received Date: 07 July 2025
- Accepted Date: 18 July 2025
- Publication Date: 22 July 2025

Copyright

© 2025 Authors. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license.

The Efficacy of Smooth Vergence and Jump Convergence in Vision Therapy

Ubani Udo Ahanna¹, Ejike Thaddaeus Chukwudi², Onyekwere Ike Francis¹¹Faculty of Optometry, Abia state University, Uturu Abia State, Nigeria²Department of Optometry, Gregory University, Uturu Abia State, Nigeria

Abstract

Binocular vision disorders, particularly convergence insufficiency, are prevalent conditions that significantly impact visual comfort and performance during near tasks such as reading and screen use. Vision therapy, a non-invasive intervention aimed at improving visual efficiency and coordination, plays a central role in the management of such disorders. Two of the most widely used approaches in vergence training—smooth vergence and jump convergence exercises—target different aspects of the fusional vergence system. Smooth vergence emphasizes sustained, gradual changes in vergence demand, improving alignment endurance and amplitude, while jump convergence involves rapid shifts in focus to enhance vergence facility and responsiveness.

This review article examines the definitions, principles, and clinical techniques associated with both training modalities, including tools such as vectograms, stereoscopes, aperture rules, and free-space fusion cards. A comprehensive synthesis of the literature reveals strong evidence supporting the efficacy of both smooth and jump vergence exercises in reducing symptoms and improving clinical outcomes in individuals with convergence insufficiency and related binocular vision anomalies. Studies also indicate their value in specialized populations, such as children with learning-related visual problems, adults experiencing digital eye strain, and athletes requiring dynamic visual coordination. In addition to comparing therapeutic outcomes and mechanisms of action, this review explores the clinical implications of integrating both techniques into customized vision therapy protocols. The article concludes with recommendations for future research, including the standardization of training regimens and the development of technology-assisted delivery methods. By highlighting current evidence and practical applications, this review supports the continued use and evolution of vergence therapy as an effective treatment for binocular vision dysfunctions.

Introduction

Binocular vision is a fundamental aspect of human visual function that enables the integration of separate images from each eye into a single, cohesive visual experience. This capability depends on precise coordination between ocular muscles to maintain simultaneous alignment and focus. Vergence eye movements—disjunctive movements of both eyes in opposite directions—are essential for sustaining binocular vision, especially during near tasks such as reading, writing, and digital device use. When vergence mechanisms fail to function adequately, individuals may experience a spectrum of binocular vision disorders, with convergence insufficiency (CI) being one of the most common and clinically significant conditions [1].

Convergence insufficiency is characterized by an inability to sustain appropriate ocular alignment during near work, often resulting in symptoms such as eye strain, headaches, blurred vision, double vision, and difficulty concentrating during reading tasks [2]. The prevalence of CI is notably higher among school-aged children and young adults,

with estimates ranging between 5% and 13% in various populations [3]. In recent years, increased digital screen exposure and intensive near-visual demands have further exacerbated the functional impact of CI, making effective interventions more relevant than ever [4].

Vision therapy has emerged as a non-invasive, evidence-based treatment modality for managing CI and other binocular dysfunctions. A key component of vision therapy includes vergence training, which is designed to improve the amplitude, facility, and stamina of fusional vergence movements. Vergence training encompasses two primary approaches: smooth vergence and jump convergence exercises. While both methods aim to enhance binocular coordination, they differ in their therapeutic mechanisms and clinical applications [5].

Smooth vergence training involves gradual and sustained stimulation of fusional vergence systems. Exercises such as vectograms, stereoscopes, and tranaglyphs present images that move slowly closer or farther apart, requiring the eyes to maintain fusion over a changing vergence demand. These activities predominantly train the slow vergence system, improving the patient's ability to sustain

Citation: Ubani UA, Ejike TC, Onyekwere IF. The Efficacy of Smooth Vergence and Jump Convergence in Vision Therapy. Japan J Res. 2025;6(8):149.

alignment during prolonged near tasks [6]. Smooth vergence is often used during the early stages of therapy to build foundational vergence amplitude and reduce symptoms of visual fatigue.

On the other hand, jump convergence training involves rapid shifts in vergence demand, requiring the patient to make quick and accurate changes in eye position. Tools such as aperture rule cards, free space fusion cards, and eccentric circles present alternating convergence stimuli at varying distances or angles, mimicking real-life scenarios such as reading from paper to screen or shifting gaze in sports. These exercises engage the fast fusional vergence mechanism, enhancing the speed and flexibility of binocular responses [7]. Jump convergence is particularly useful in the latter stages of therapy, helping patients adapt to dynamic visual environments.

Several clinical trials and observational studies support the efficacy of both training methods. The Convergence Insufficiency Treatment Trial (CITT) demonstrated that office-based vergence/accommodative therapy, which includes both smooth and jump convergence tasks, significantly improved symptoms and clinical signs of CI in children [8]. Similar benefits have been observed in adults and post-concussive patients, where structured vergence training programs have led to meaningful improvements in vergence facility, near point of convergence (NPC), and quality of life metrics [9,4].

Despite the well-established benefits of vergence training, there remains a need for deeper comparative evaluation of the individual and combined contributions of smooth and jump convergence exercises. Questions persist regarding their relative efficacy, optimal sequencing, and specific neurological adaptations. Furthermore, with the growing application of digital and virtual platforms in therapy delivery, there is increasing interest in adapting traditional vergence exercises for remote and technology-assisted interventions [10].

This review aims to explore the definitions, principles, and clinical applications of smooth vergence and jump convergence training, assess their efficacy in treating binocular vision disorders, and provide a comparative analysis of their therapeutic impact. Additionally, it highlights clinical implications for various patient populations, including children, adults, and athletes, and proposes future directions for research and clinical practice. By synthesizing current evidence and expert perspectives, this article seeks to enhance understanding and application of vergence training in contemporary vision care.

Methods

Literature Search Strategy

A comprehensive literature search was performed to identify studies evaluating the efficacy of smooth vergence and jump convergence exercises in vision therapy. The databases PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar were systematically searched for peer-reviewed articles published from January 2000 to May 2025. The following keywords and Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) terms were used in various combinations: “smooth vergence,” “jump convergence,” “vergence therapy,” “convergence insufficiency,” “binocular vision therapy,” and “vision training.” Boolean operators “AND” and “OR” were used to refine search results. Filters were applied to limit articles to human studies published in English.

To ensure comprehensiveness, reference lists of key articles and relevant reviews were manually searched for additional studies. The search strategy was guided by the Preferred

Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) framework [11].

Selection and Screening Process

The initial database search yielded a total of 624 studies published between January 2000 and May 2025. After removing duplicates (n = 136), 488 titles and abstracts were screened.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Studies were eligible for inclusion if they met the following criteria:

- Focused on smooth vergence and/or jump convergence as part of vision therapy interventions;
- Included participants with non-strabismic binocular vision disorders (e.g., convergence insufficiency);
- Reported outcomes such as changes in vergence amplitude, near point of convergence, or symptom reduction;
- Employed randomized controlled trial (RCT), quasi-experimental, cohort, or prospective designs;
- Were published in peer-reviewed journals in English.

Studies were excluded if they:

- Were case reports, narrative reviews, letters, or conference abstracts;
- Lacked sufficient methodological detail or outcome measures
- Investigated surgical or pharmacological interventions;
- Focused exclusively on strabismic or neurological visual disorders.

After the title and abstract screening, 127 full-text articles were assessed for eligibility. Of these, 41 were excluded due to lack of outcome data, weak methodology, or an off-topic focus. A total of 86 studies met all inclusion criteria and were included in the final analysis. The selection process followed the PRISMA 2020 guidelines to ensure transparency and reproducibility [11].

Study Selection and Data Extraction

To ensure consistency, a structured form was used to gather important details from the selected studies. This included information such as the names of the authors, the year the study was published, the type of study conducted, characteristics of the participants, the kind and length of vergence therapy used, the outcomes measured, and the main results. To evaluate the quality of the studies, the Cochrane Risk of Bias Tool was applied to randomized controlled trials (RCTs), while the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale was used for studies that were not randomized [12,13].

Smooth Vergence Training

Smooth vergence training is a fundamental component of vision therapy aimed at improving the ability of the eyes to sustain accurate, continuous binocular alignment during slow and gradual changes in visual demand. It specifically targets the fusional vergence system to enhance binocular coordination, comfort, and efficiency [2]. The principle behind smooth vergence exercises is to stimulate and strengthen the positive and negative fusional vergence amplitudes by presenting visual stimuli that require the eyes to gradually converge (base-out) or diverge (base-in) without losing fusion [1]. Training typically begins with stimuli at the patient's current level of comfort and gradually increases in difficulty, promoting neuromuscular adaptation and plasticity in the vergence control system [6].

Smooth vergence therapy is often prescribed for patients with convergence insufficiency, accommodative-vergence dysfunctions, or symptoms such as eyestrain, blurred vision, or headaches during near tasks [14].

Types of Smooth Vergence Exercises

Several tools are employed to conduct smooth vergence training:

Vectograms: These are polarized or anaglyphic stereograms that present separate images to each eye using polarized or colored filters. They allow patients to practice both convergence and divergence through smooth, controlled adjustments while maintaining binocular fusion [7].

Tranaglyphs: Red-green anaglyphic slides used with red-green glasses. The slides can be manipulated horizontally to incrementally increase vergence demand. They are useful for both base-in and base-out training and help detect suppression or diplopia [15].

Stereoscope: An optical device that presents separate images to each eye and allows precise control over vergence demand. It is effective for both convergence and divergence exercises [16].

These tools allow clinicians to adjust difficulty based on patient performance and are used in both office-based and home-based therapy programs.

Evidence for Efficacy in Treating Binocular Vision Disorders

Numerous studies have demonstrated the efficacy of smooth vergence training, especially in treating convergence insufficiency (CI). In a large randomized clinical trial, office-based vergence/accommodative therapy incorporating smooth vergence exercises significantly improved symptoms, near point of convergence, and positive fusional vergence in children with CI compared to placebo therapy [8].

Other studies show that smooth vergence training not only improves fusional ranges but also enhances reading performance and reduces asthenopic symptoms in children and adults [4]. Additionally, functional MRI research supports that vergence therapy induces measurable changes in brain activity related to oculomotor control, indicating neuroplastic adaptation following smooth vergence training [8,9].

Jump Convergence Training

Jump convergence training is a vision therapy technique designed to improve the speed, accuracy, and flexibility of the vergence system by requiring the eyes to make rapid and discrete shifts in alignment between targets at varying levels of vergence demand. Unlike smooth vergence exercises, which involve gradual changes in vergence, jump convergence exercises introduce abrupt changes in visual stimulus, simulating the dynamic demands of everyday visual tasks such as reading and shifting focus between distances [7].

The principle behind jump convergence is to challenge the vergence system's adaptability and reflexive control, strengthening the fusional vergence mechanism by forcing quick alternation between convergence and divergence demands [1]. It helps build vergence facility, which is critical for patients with convergence insufficiency and other binocular vision disorders that manifest during near work or prolonged visual tasks.

Types of Jump Convergence Exercises

Several tools and techniques are used to deliver jump convergence training effectively:

Aperture Rule: The aperture rule involves using a single aperture through which the patient views printed targets positioned to demand increasing convergence or divergence. It creates a clear, fusion-stimulating task that enhances jump vergence facility by requiring rapid alignment and fusion of images [2].

Eccentric Circles: Eccentric circles are printed cards with sets of circles designed to be viewed binocularly with the goal of fusing the images. By alternating between base-in and base-out positioning, patients must quickly shift their vergence response, reinforcing flexibility and control [7].

Free Space Fusion Cards (e.g., Lifesaver Cards): These cards present stereoscopic images without optical devices and rely on the user's ability to converge or diverge the eyes to achieve fusion. The patient alternates between targets at different vergence demands, promoting fast and accurate alignment [16].

These techniques are commonly used in both clinical and home-based therapy programs, often in conjunction with smooth vergence exercises to build both accuracy and speed of convergence.

Comparison of Smooth Vergence and Jump Convergence Training

Smooth vergence and jump convergence training are both integral to comprehensive vision therapy programs aimed at treating binocular vision disorders, particularly convergence insufficiency (CI). Each method contributes uniquely to improving vergence amplitude, facility, and symptom relief.

Smooth vergence training emphasizes gradual, sustained fusional effort, and has been shown to produce significant improvements in positive fusional vergence (PFV), near point of convergence (NPC), and symptom reduction [8]. Studies indicate that patients undergoing smooth vergence exercises often show steady gains in vergence ranges and reduced visual discomfort during prolonged near tasks [6].

Jump convergence training, in contrast, focuses on rapid vergence shifts and has demonstrated superior results in improving vergence facility—the ability to switch quickly and accurately between different vergence demands [7]. This ability is crucial in dynamic environments such as reading, driving, or sports. Jump convergence tasks often lead to faster improvements in the speed of binocular alignment, especially in individuals with normal vergence amplitude but reduced flexibility [1].

Both techniques are frequently used in combination as part of multi-phase therapy protocols. Research supports that integrating smooth and jump convergence exercises yields the most comprehensive outcomes, addressing both static alignment and dynamic responsiveness [2].

Discussion of Potential Differences in Training Mechanisms

The fundamental distinction between smooth vergence and jump convergence training lies in the nature of the vergence stimulus and the neuromuscular adaptations they target.

Smooth vergence exercises stimulate the slow vergence system, which relies on sustained motor control and gradual tonic adaptation. This helps improve baseline fusional vergence ranges and reduce symptoms related to visual fatigue during continuous near work [1]. The training encourages neuroplasticity in pathways involved in maintaining fusion over time, such as the medial rectus innervation pathways and cerebellar coordination [9].

Jump convergence exercises, on the other hand, primarily engage the fast fusional vergence mechanism, which is responsible for initiating rapid eye movements and refixation. This type of training enhances the latency, velocity, and accuracy of vergence responses through repetitive exposure to abrupt vergence demands. It mimics real-life visual transitions and strengthens neural circuits responsible for vergence agility, such as those in the frontal eye fields and parietal lobe [6]. Ultimately, while smooth vergence improves endurance and sustained focus, jump convergence improves flexibility and speed—making both necessary and complementary in rehabilitative vision therapy.

Clinical Implications and Applications

Implications for Clinical Practice: The integration of smooth vergence and jump convergence training into clinical optometric and vision therapy practice has significant implications for the management of binocular vision disorders. These techniques provide evidence-based, non-invasive treatment options for improving vergence function, reducing symptoms such as eye strain, diplopia, and blurred vision, and enhancing overall visual efficiency [1]. In clinical settings, smooth vergence exercises are particularly effective in increasing positive and negative fusional vergence ranges and are foundational in early-stage therapy. Jump convergence tasks, on the other hand, are essential in later stages to improve vergence facility and dynamic responsiveness, preparing patients for real-world visual demands [7].

These therapies can be tailored to individual patient profiles. The flexibility in using various tools—such as tranaglyphs, vectograms, aperture rules, and free-space fusion cards—makes them suitable for both in-office and home-based programs, improving patient compliance and outcomes [2].

Potential Applications in Various Patient Populations

Children

Children with convergence insufficiency (CI), accommodative-vergence dysfunctions, or learning-related visual issues greatly benefit from vergence therapy. Randomized clinical trials have demonstrated that structured vergence/accommodative therapy programs significantly reduce CI-related symptoms in school-aged children, improving both academic performance and comfort during reading tasks [8].

Adults

Adults experiencing digital eye strain, traumatic brain injury (TBI), or binocular vision anomalies such as decompensated heterophoria can also benefit from vergence therapy. Smooth and jump vergence training are effective in restoring functional binocular vision in adults, often improving work efficiency and quality of life [6].

Athletes

Athletes require quick, accurate, and adaptable visual responses to track fast-moving objects, shift gaze between distances, and maintain focus under dynamic conditions. Vergence training—especially jump convergence exercises—enhances dynamic vergence facility and spatial awareness, contributing to improved sports performance. Vision training programs incorporating vergence techniques have been used in sports vision clinics to enhance eye-hand coordination and reaction times [17].

Conclusion

This review highlights the critical roles of smooth vergence and jump convergence training in the diagnosis

and management of binocular vision disorders, particularly convergence insufficiency. Smooth vergence exercises enhance sustained fusional amplitude and improve alignment stability, while jump convergence tasks develop vergence facility and adaptability to rapidly changing visual demands. Both approaches have demonstrated strong clinical efficacy, with research supporting their application in children, adults, and specialized populations such as athletes and individuals with post-concussive visual deficits. The literature affirms that combining both training modalities yields comprehensive visual rehabilitation, addressing both static and dynamic aspects of binocular function. These interventions significantly reduce visual symptoms, improve reading and near-work performance, and enhance overall quality of life in affected individuals.

Future Directions for Research and Clinical Practice

Despite the strong foundational evidence, future research should focus on standardizing vergence therapy protocols, optimizing the duration and sequencing of smooth versus jump training, and exploring neurophysiological mechanisms through imaging techniques. Longitudinal studies evaluating long-term retention of therapy outcomes, especially in adult and neurodiverse populations, are also needed.

Clinically, advancements in digital platforms, virtual reality, and telehealth may offer innovative ways to deliver vergence therapy more effectively and accessibly. Integrating these technologies with traditional in-office methods could enhance patient engagement, adherence, and outcomes. Ultimately, as visual demands continue to evolve in modern life, expanding and refining vergence therapy will remain a cornerstone of optometric vision care.

References

1. Scheiman M, Wick B. Clinical Management of Binocular Vision: Heterophoric, Accommodative, and Eye Movement Disorders. 5th ed. Philadelphia: Wolters Kluwer; 2020.
2. Cooper J, Feldman J. Operant conditioning of fusional vergence ranges. *Am J Optom Physiol Opt.* 1992;69(5):276–287.
3. Rouse MW, Hyman L, Hussein M, Solan H, Reinstein F, Wold RM. Frequency of convergence insufficiency among fifth and sixth graders. *Optom Vis Sci.* 1998;75(10):643–649.
4. Gallaway M, Scheiman M, Mitchell GL. Vision therapy for post-concussion vision disorders. *Optom Vis Dev.* 2017;48(1):12–19.
5. Scheiman M, Gallaway M, Frantz KA, et al. Nearpoint of convergence: test procedure, target selection, and normative data. *Optom Vis Sci.* 2003;80(3):214–225.
6. Alvarez TL, Kim EH, Vicci VR, Dhar SK, Biswal BB, Barrett AM. Concurrent vision dysfunctions in convergence insufficiency with traumatic brain injury. *Optom Vis Sci.* 2010;87(12):1053–1065.
7. Griffin JR, Grisham JD. Binocular Anomalies: Diagnosis and Vision Therapy. 5th ed. St. Louis: Elsevier; 2012.
8. Scheiman M, Mitchell GL, Cotter SA, et al. A randomized clinical trial of treatments for convergence insufficiency in children. *Arch Ophthalmol.* 2008;126(10):1336–1349.
9. Alvarez TL, Jaswal R, Gohel S, Biswal BB. Functional activity within the frontal eye fields, posterior parietal cortex, and cerebellum in people with convergence insufficiency before and after treatment. *Neuroimage Clin.* 2014;6:291–304.
10. Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, et al. The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. *BMJ.* 2021;372:n71.
11. Higgins JPT, Savović J, Page MJ, Elbers RG, Sterne JAC. Chapter 8: Assessing risk of bias in a randomized trial. In: Higgins JPT, Thomas J, Chandler J, Cumpston M, Li T, Page MJ, Welch VA,

- eds. Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions. Version 6.3. London: Cochrane; 2022.
12. Wells GA, Shea B, O'Connell D, et al. The Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) for assessing the quality of nonrandomised studies in meta-analyses. Ottawa: Ottawa Hospital Research Institute; 2021.
 13. Daum KM. Convergence insufficiency. *Am J Optom Physiol Opt*. 1984;61(1):16–22.
 14. Duckman RH. Visual Development, Diagnosis, and Treatment of the Pediatric Patient. 2nd ed. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2006.
 15. Press LJ. *Applied Concepts in Vision Therapy*. Santa Ana: Optometric Extension Program Foundation; 1997.
 16. Quevedo L, Aznar-Casanova JA, Merindano MD. The effect of a home-based therapy with a computer orthoptics program in convergence insufficiency. *Optom Vis Sci*. 2011;88(3):255–263.
 17. Clark JF, Ellis JK, Bench J, Khoury J, Graman P. High-performance vision training improves batting statistics for University of Cincinnati baseball players. *PLoS One*. 2012;7(1):e29109.

